



King's Research Portal

Document Version
Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Igwesi-Chidobe, C. N., Sorinola, I. O., Kitchen, S. S., & Godfrey, E. L. (Accepted/In press). Unconventional Practitioners' Causal Beliefs and Treatment Strategies for Chronic low back pain in Rural Nigeria. *Health Services Insights*.

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

This manuscript has been submitted to Health Services Insights

Journal Name: Health Services Insights	Manuscript ID: Draft
Manuscript Type: Original Research	Manuscript Title: Unconventional Practitioners' Causal Beliefs and Treatment Strategies for Chronic low back pain in Rural Nigeria
Author Names: Chinonso Igwesi-Chidobe, Sheila Kitchen, Isaac Sorinola, Emma Godfrey	
Keywords:	
<p>Abstract: Chronic low back pain (CLBP) is highly prevalent and CLBP disability reinforces poverty and Nigerian rural-urban inequality. Most rural Nigerian dwellers with CLBP consult unconventional practitioners due to difficulty in accessing conventional health care. This interaction may influence back-pain beliefs and behaviours, and health outcomes including disability. In line with the recent Lancet Low Back Pain series call to address widespread misconceptions in the population and among health practitioners about low back pain, this study explored the beliefs and management strategies of unconventional practitioners consulted by people living with CLBP in rural Nigeria. Qualitative semi-structured face-to-face individual interviews. Thematic analysis of transcripts was performed using the Framework approach. Nine unconventional practitioners consented to participate in this study. Patent medicine sellers and herbalists had biomedical beliefs about CLBP and encouraged passivity and drug dependence in patients. Pastors adopted spiritual or biopsychosocial-spiritual management models and either encouraged spiritual CLBP causal beliefs and spiritual healing expectations; or patients' resilience and acceptance respectively. Unconventional practitioners' CLBP beliefs and management strategies aligned with the beliefs and coping strategies of patients who consulted them. Unconventional practitioners' beliefs and management strategies may therefore contribute to the adverse impact of CLBP in rural Nigeria.</p>	

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10 **Unconventional Practitioners' Causal Beliefs**
11 **and Treatment Strategies for Chronic low**
12 **back pain in Rural Nigeria**
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

For Peer Review

Abstract

Chronic low back pain (CLBP) is highly prevalent and CLBP disability reinforces poverty and Nigerian rural-urban inequality. Most rural Nigerian dwellers with CLBP consult unconventional practitioners due to difficulty in accessing conventional health care. This interaction may influence back-pain beliefs and behaviours, and health outcomes including disability. In line with the recent Lancet Low Back Pain series call to address widespread misconceptions in the population and among health practitioners about low back pain, this study explored the beliefs and management strategies of unconventional practitioners consulted by people living with CLBP in rural Nigeria. Qualitative semi-structured face-to-face individual interviews. Thematic analysis of transcripts was performed using the Framework approach. Nine unconventional practitioners consented to participate in this study. Patent medicine sellers and herbalists had biomedical beliefs about CLBP and encouraged passivity and drug dependence in patients. Pastors adopted spiritual or biopsychosocial-spiritual management models and either encouraged spiritual CLBP causal beliefs and spiritual healing expectations; or patients' resilience and acceptance respectively. Unconventional practitioners' CLBP beliefs and management strategies aligned with the beliefs and coping strategies of patients who consulted

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 them. Unconventional practitioners' beliefs and management strategies
10 may therefore contribute to the adverse impact of CLBP in rural Nigeria.
11

12
13 **Keywords:** Chronic low back pain, unconventional practitioners, qualitative,
14 management, rural Nigeria, Africa
15

16 17 **Background**

18 The recent Lancet Low Back Pain series call to action emphasized the need
19 to address widespread misconceptions in the population about low back
20 pain, and deal with fragmented and outdated models of care.¹ This is
21 because low back pain is now the number one cause of disability globally,
22 and the burden is increasing, particularly in low-income and middle-income
23 countries.²
24
25
26

27
28 Patients' chronic low back pain (CLBP) beliefs affect pain perception,
29 interpretation of pain, pain-related behaviour and coping strategies,
30 treatment responses, all of which impact CLBP outcomes.³ The nature and
31 function of these beliefs are influenced by the practitioner-patient
32 interaction.⁴⁻⁸ A systematic review of studies conducted in high income
33 countries (United Kingdom – UK, France, Netherlands, Australia, Germany,
34 Norway, Sweden and the United States of America – USA) found evidence
35 that health professionals' beliefs about back pain and their management
36 strategies influenced their patients' beliefs and coping strategies.⁹
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

Health professionals in high income countries including general practitioners (GPs) and physiotherapists with biomedical beliefs about CLBP were more likely to prescribe bed rest and staying off work to patients; and demand laboratory tests, X-rays or MRIs.^{7,8,10-13} This concurs with findings in Nigeria¹⁴; and contradicts recommendations of evidence-based guidelines for the management of CLBP^{15,16}, and may likely foster disability.

Recent European and American guidelines recommend against the routine use of imaging due to its low predictive value in identifying CLBP; and medications and bed rest which might exacerbate rather than improve patient symptoms and disability¹⁷⁻²⁰. The National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines for the management of CLBP recommend providing patients with advice and education to promote self-management, in addition to either exercises, manual therapy or acupuncture. Furthermore, a combined physical and psychosocial management programme which includes cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) and exercise, for people who have already received less intensive treatments, and have high disability with or without psychological distress is recommended¹⁶.

Adopting a biopsychosocial management model in which psychosocial as well as biomedical factors are acknowledged has been shown to maintain

positive CLBP outcomes in the long term in contrast to an exclusively biomedical approach²¹. The biopsychosocial model of CLBP acknowledges that cognitive, emotional, psychological, behavioural, physical and social factors interact to perpetuate pain and that these should be the target of multimodal treatment strategies²².

Collaborative patient-centred communication style is an important aspect of the biopsychosocial CLBP management model. The different ways in which terms are used to describe CLBP may confuse and distress patients. For instance, the word 'chronic' was shown to increase emotional distress in UK patients with CLBP which reduced when 'long-term' was used.⁴

Challenges with practitioner beliefs, communication and management strategies may be magnified in rural Nigeria. This is due to the involvement of several different unconventional practitioners, who usually hold beliefs different from conventional practitioners, in providing health care in rural Nigeria.²³⁻²⁶ This is not surprising as most of these unconventional practitioners may have little or no formal health care training.

About 97% of rural Nigerians utilize unconventional medicine for managing their musculoskeletal pain²⁷. This is often due to inaccessibility²⁸ or the fear²⁶ of conventional health care. For instance, patients with CLBP in rural Nigeria

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 avoided consulting the doctor due to the fear of a potential diagnosis that
10
11 would make their condition appear to be more severe than it actually was,
12
13 which they perceived would in turn be associated with more financial costs
14
15 and emotional distress²⁶.
16

17 For the purpose of this study, unconventional practitioners are people who
18
19 practice “unconventional medicine” – defined as “medical interventions that
20
21 are not taught extensively at medical schools and generally not provided at
22
23 hospitals”.²⁹ Investigating the beliefs and CLBP management strategies of
24
25 these practitioners may further enhance an understanding of the
26
27 experiences of people living with CLBP in rural Nigeria. It can also highlight
28
29 the origin of patients’ CLBP beliefs and coping strategies which should form
30
31 a crucial platform from which to develop clinical interventions.

32 This is the first qualitative study exploring the beliefs and management
33
34 strategies of unconventional practitioners following the qualitative
35
36 investigation of people living with CLBP who consulted them in rural
37
38 Nigeria²⁶. The results of this study can provide a first step in the investigation
39
40 of the relationship between practitioners’ beliefs, patients’ beliefs and the
41
42 impact of CLBP in this context.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

Methods

Study design

Qualitative semi-structured face-to-face individual interviews was conducted.

The framework approach was utilised in this study. The framework approach is a thematic analysis approach originally developed for large scale applied policy research³⁰. Its use has now extended into other areas including health research³¹. The approach is a data management and analysis approach as it is not aligned with any particular epistemological, philosophical or theoretical approach³⁰. It is not a research paradigm such as grounded theory, phenomenology or ethnography³². It has an eclectic approach that allows it to draw from different approaches such as ethnography, phenomenology, ethnomethodology, symbolic interactionism, grounded theory, constructionism and critical theory³⁰.

The ontological assumption of the more commonly used grounded theory is critical realism and it is both a method (category identification and integration) and a product (theory). Critical realism combines the general philosophy of science (transcendental realism) with the philosophy of social science (critical naturalism) to describe an interface between the natural and social worlds through which theoretical explanations about phenomena in the world can be developed. Critical realism holds that there is a reality

which exists independent of its human conception, and that there are unobservable events which cause the observable ones. This implies that the social world can be understood only if people understand the structures that generate such unobservable events.³³

In contrast, the ontological assumption of the Framework approach is subtle realism, the belief that an external reality exists independent of peoples' perceptions, but can only be known through peoples' perceptions and constructions³⁰. Subtle realism appears as a pragmatic compromise between realist and idealist extremes due to its lack of conformity to any specific philosophical position³⁴.

The framework approach sits on an inductive-deductive continuum depending on specific research questions³¹. For instance, a deductive approach may be used when analysis is based on a pre-existing theory, while inductive approach allows for unexpected socially-sensitive or culturally specific responses that might not have been predicted by the researcher a priori³¹. Interpretation then moves beyond participants' responses to draw from both the researcher's interpretations and existing theories³⁰, whilst maintaining reflexivity³⁵.

The framework approach was developed to address common criticisms of qualitative data analysis relating to rigour, clarity and transparency³². Its

defining features include the framework matrix which allows analysis by case and code, enabling a systematic reduction of data; and the provision of clear steps to follow that makes it especially useful for large data sets in multidisciplinary research teams with varying levels of experience^{31,32}. Being amenable to large data sets and novice qualitative researchers are other advantages of the approach. The approach consists of five steps: familiarisation, constructing an initial thematic framework, indexing and sorting, reviewing data extracts in the framework matrices, and abstraction and interpretation³⁰.

This study acknowledges the items in the consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ)³⁶.

Participant recruitment

Practitioners included patent medicine sellers (referred to as “chemists” locally), herbalists, and pastors who were identified by people living with CLBP in rural Nigeria²⁶, through a snowball sampling technique. Sixteen practitioners were identified and invited to participate in the study, out of which seven (5 herbalists and 2 patent medicine sellers) declined participation. There were no differences in characteristics between those who agreed to participate in this study and those who declined to participate. The consenting unconventional practitioners were either consulted alone or in addition to conventional practitioners such as medical

doctors and physiotherapists as multiple consultations is common among people living with CLBP in rural Nigeria.²⁶

Participants in this study were at least eighteen years old and had managed or given advice to at least one person living with CLBP in a previous study.²⁶ They were initially contacted through phone calls or a visit to their facilities. Written and oral explanations of the purpose of the study were provided. Interested participants gave the lead author another appointment during which informed consent was obtained. They were subsequently interviewed in their facilities in rural communities in Enugu state, southeast Nigeria, from where they were consulted by people living with CLBP in rural Nigeria.²⁶ Nine participants (4 patent medicine sellers, 3 herbalists, 2 pastors) aged between 21 and 53 years were recruited and interviewed during the course of this study.

Procedure

The interview guide explored participants' beliefs about CLBP, their management strategies and perceived effectiveness of these strategies. The guide was developed after a literature search and analysis of the interviews of people living with CLBP in rural Nigeria.²⁶ Questions were firstly written in English and then translated into Igbo by back-translation, consultation, collaboration and piloting.^{37,38} Interviews were conducted individually and face-to-face in Igbo by the bilingual lead author.

The duration of each interview ranged between thirty and forty-five minutes. A reflective diary and field notes were used to record the researcher's thoughts and experiences in the field. This enabled the researcher to reflexively examine her preconceptions and role as a researcher, and how these might influence responses and analysis.

Ethical approval was obtained from King's College London (Ref: BDM/12/13-123) and University of Nigeria Teaching Hospital (Ref: UNTH/CSA/329/Vol.5).

Data

The framework approach was used for thematic analysis of data. Interviews were transcribed verbatim in Igbo. Igbo transcripts were forward translated to English by the bilingual lead author. One randomly selected translated interview transcript was back translated from English to Igbo by an independent bilingual person, and compared with the original Igbo transcript to ensure that meaning was not lost during translation. All English transcripts, reflective and field notes were entered into NVivo version 10, QSR international, Melbourne, Australia.

Transcripts were coded inductively to develop descriptive codes that were grounded in the data. The descriptive codes were used to organise the data, which further increased familiarisation and immersion in the data. Higher

order analytical categories were then developed from the codes. The categories were used to develop initial thematic framework, which was used to index the entire data. The final themes and narrative emerged from the abstraction and interpretation of the thematic framework.

A randomly selected Igbo transcript was coded by a Nigerian based researcher to validate the analysis process. All analytical steps were validated by the research team to ensure that the emerging codes, categories, themes and narrative reflected the original data.

Results

Table 1 below shows the demographic characteristics of the participants. Patent medicine sellers dispense drugs whereas herbalists make and/or dispense herbal remedies. These people are often not formally educated in rural Nigeria.

Insert Table 1 here

Table 2 below illustrates the three themes and seven subthemes generated from the thematic analysis.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36

Insert table 2 here

For Peer Review

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 **1. Varied explanatory models of chronic back pain**

10
11 Participants had back pain related beliefs that were either predominantly
12 biomedical or spiritual within a biopsychosocial understanding.
13

14
15 **Biomedical formulations of chronic back pain:**

16 Genetic, infective, degenerative or biomechanical factors were believed to
17 cause and maintain back pain. Patent medicine sellers offered degenerative,
18 infective, biomechanical, genetic or traumatic explanations of back pain.
19
20

21
22 *'...because of old age, arthritis...rheumatism...bad water and bad*
23 *blood is what causes rheumatism...the way you get bad blood*
24 *is...infection...if it is not treated well...'* (Patent medicine seller 1).
25

26
27 *'...the jobs they do...farming up to 4 hours...bent down...'* (Patent
28 *medicine seller 4)* *'...back pain is inherited from their family...'* (Patent
29 *medicine seller 2)* *or due to accident...'* (Patent medicine seller 3).
30
31

32
33 When back pain could not be linked to old age or a specific biomechanical
34 event, infection became the salient explanation offered by the herbalists.
35 Herbalists associated back pain perceived to be due to infection to
36 symptoms of 'hotness' and unexplained moving sensations in the body.
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 *'...somebody just started having back pain...we discover that what*
10 *causes it is infection...the person says that the waist (lower back) feels*
11 *hot' (Herbalist 2).*

12
13
14 *'...the one (back pain) due to infection is that he (patient) would tell*
15 *you that something is moving about their body...' (Herbalist 3).*

16
17
18
19
20 The interviewed pastors also had a biomedical understanding of back pain
21 reflected in their explanation of back pain as a disease resulting from hard
22 labour, over working, child bearing and rearing, and poor nutrition.
23

24
25 *'...when someone is not eating balanced diet, the person's blood is*
26 *never complete...it is that blood that forms the body tissue and*
27 *tendons...this causes pain' (Pastor 1).*
28
29

30 31 32 33 **Cultural explanations for persistent back pain:**

34 Cultural connotations of back pain portrayed Igbo people as being prone to
35 persistent pain. The pastors believed that there were cultural differences in
36 pain tolerance, and that Southern Nigerians had a lower pain tolerance than
37 Northern Nigerians. They believed that Igbo people have inordinately high
38 ambitions that drove behaviour which maintained chronic pain.
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 *'...a real Igbo man does not believe in rest...myself*
10 *inclusive...sometimes pain is due to restlessness...lack of rest...'*
11
12 *(Pastor 1).*
13
14
15

16 **Spiritual understanding of non-specific chronic low back pain:**

17 Back pain became perceived as 'spiritual' by a pastor when it is persistent
18 without a clear underlying cause. This is then linked to a specific 'spiritual'
19 event involving an 'evil person' casting a spiritual spell on another person or
20 blood deficiency due to spiritual forces. The transient nature of back pain
21 reinforced a spiritual causal understanding.
22
23
24

25
26
27 *'...you see that something is wrong with the person but you are not*
28 *sure what it is...Why should that pain linger for such a long time?*
29 *That's why we suspected that it (back pain) was spiritual...'* (Pastor
30 2).
31
32
33
34
35

36 **2. Classification-based management of chronic back pain**

37
38 Herbalists and patent medicine sellers managed subgroups of back pain
39 located within a biomedical model. The pastors adopted a biopsychosocial-
40 spiritual model of managing low back pain.
41
42
43
44
45

Back pain duration did not influence treatment:

The duration of back pain did not influence management options as practitioners did not differentiate the management of acute and chronic back pain patients. The different classifications of back pain were not based on the duration of pain but on the perceived underlying biomedical mechanisms driving pain.

'...Back pain is of different types...we give them herbs depending on the type...' (Herbalist 2).

Patent medicine sellers utilised different categories of analgesic drugs whereas the herbalists prescribed herbs for controlling infection and pain. These were prescribed on a continual basis.

'...we give them herbs for pain or herbs for infection if the pain is due to infection...' (Herbalist 1).

'...you might give the person a few analgesics like diclofenac...tramadol if the pain is too bad...he might take it like 3 doses and feel better and then decide that you should continue giving him the drugs...' (Patent medicine seller 3).

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 However, the patent medicine sellers and herbalists claimed they advised
10 patients to visit mainstream health care facilities for further investigations
11 when pain became persistent. However, this response might have been
12 influenced by who they perceived the researcher to be – a conventional
13 health practitioner (Reflective diary, 15/9/2013).
14
15
16

17
18
19 **Persistent back pain often perceived as ‘spiritual’ and managed**
20 **‘spiritually’:**

21 The pastors were the only ones that acknowledged a biopsychosocial model
22 of CLBP when advising and supporting their patients. This appeared to be
23 driven by their biopsychosocial-spiritual concept of health. One pastor
24 believed that humans are made up of three entities namely: soul, spirit and
25 body. Therefore, he perceived that spirituality was a way of achieving a
26 complete state of health in patients with back pain.
27
28
29
30

31
32 *‘...when I pray for them (patients), what I intend to achieve is for them*
33 *to be healthy, soul, spirit and body’ (Pastor 1).*
34
35
36

37
38 The other pastor’s distinction in managing CLBP appeared to be an increased
39 emphasis on the spiritual aspect of persistent back pain. He believed that
40 spiritual factors were the underlying mechanisms driving chronicity. LBP
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

perceived as 'non-specific' by this pastor was also predominantly addressed spiritually. When pain persisted despite repeated prayers, this pastor blamed patients for lacking trust and faith that hindered their healing.

'...healings have all been through faith...but there are those who don't believe...' (Pastor 2).

Biomedical and psychosocial factors were targeted by the pastors after praying, in a bid to achieve a complete state of health. One of the pastors tried to help patients using this biopsychosocial-spiritual model which was aimed at reducing emotional distress and internalising locus of control. He believed that addressing relevant psychosocial factors improved the effectiveness of the spiritual interventions and enabled patients to take better control of their health. The pastors believed that encouraging patients to identify with the good attributes of rural habitation facilitated acceptance, and reduced the negative impact of the perceptions of the Nigerian rural-urban divide.

'...Then after praying...I begin to counsel them (patients)...what do you eat, how do you rest...how many hours do you sleep a day?...I tell them the importance of living in a good environment...' (Pastor 1)

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 *'...the person goes to urinate several times in the night because of too*
10 *much thinking...the person has anxiety...some people will tell you*
11 *things are hard. Government this and that. Not true...people believe*
12 *that unless you have big money...you can't be happy...it's not true.*
13 *We live in the village...we have the best environment depending on*
14 *how we keep it ...' (Pastor 1).*
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Perceived effectiveness of different management strategies:**

22 Patent medicine sellers believed their management of acute LBP was
23 effective. However, they did not perceive themselves competent in
24 autonomously managing CLBP. They believed their competence in managing
25 acute LBP was related to the fact that their treatment mirrored the doctor's
26 as they prescribed the same drugs as doctors. This perception of
27 competence might be linked to their management of mainly acute infective
28 conditions. They viewed themselves mainly as first aid providers.
29
30
31
32
33

34 *'...you know that what we do is first aid. It's not that I must cure the*
35 *person with the pain that has been there a long time...'* (Patent
36 *medicine seller 3).*
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

The herbalists also viewed their treatment of LBP as effective. Their perceived competence was reinforced by the fact that their management strategies were thought to have stood the test of time because they had been passed down over several decades and generations.

'...most of these treatments were inherited from our parents and forefathers...' (Herbalist 2).

Discussion

This is the first qualitative study of unconventional practitioners consulted for the management of CLBP in rural Nigeria. It is not surprising that these practitioners were consulted since patients' choice of practitioners is not based on their formal qualifications, but by patients' treatment experience, relationship with practitioners, and proximity with practitioners.³⁵

Unconventional practitioners' CLBP management strategies were in concordance with their own beliefs and appeared to be similar to the beliefs and coping strategies of the patients that consulted them in rural Nigeria.²⁶

The patent medicine sellers and herbalists had biomedical beliefs about CLBP and adopted a biomedical management model. The pastors adopted a spiritual or biopsychosocial-spiritual management model in line with their CLBP beliefs.

The biomedical model assumes that pain and disability are secondary to patho-anatomical disorders, and that addressing these factors should reduce or cure symptoms.³⁹ However, the biomedical model has been unsuccessful in the treatment of CLBP, hence the paradigm shift towards the biopsychosocial model.²² The biopsychosocial model of CLBP acknowledges the interaction between cognitive, emotional, psychological, behavioural, physical and social factors to perpetuate pain and disability.⁴⁰ Furthermore, self-management has a central role in the biopsychosocial model of CLBP^{10,41}, implying less dependence on practitioners.

There were several beliefs and management strategies within the biomedical model. A biomechanical understanding of CLBP was held by all practitioners which they linked to manual work, particularly peasant farming undertaken by most rural Nigerian dwellers. Conceptualising the human body as biomechanical is limiting because increasing evidence suggests that biological processes driven by both biomedical and psychosocial factors underlie CLBP.^{3,42,43} The patients who consulted these practitioners, and who had only a biomechanical understanding of CLBP were more likely to have fear-avoidance beliefs, anxiety, increased pain when performing manual occupational activities possibly due to hypervigilance, passivity with attendant unrealistic expectations that the government would provide

alternative jobs.²⁶ These factors are predictors of CLBP disability in rural Nigeria²².

The patent medicine sellers' tendency to continually dispense analgesic drugs including tramadol – an opioid, could foster patients' drug dependence and may be linked to the habitual use of these drugs by the patients that consulted them.²⁶ A biomechanical CLBP model that does not acknowledge psychosocial factors may imply inevitability of CLBP with manual work, which may limit occupational behavioural adaptations. Moreover, long-term use of opioids increases cardiovascular risk and has limited effectiveness for chronic LBP⁴²; which may be of public health importance in this population where many CLBP patients have co-morbid hypertension.²⁶

Acknowledging psychosocial issues such as fear avoidance beliefs, often driven by biomechanical beliefs, is important in clinical assessment and as a path for addressing work related problems.⁴³ In situations where CLBP could not be explained by biomechanical factors, the herbalists treated their patients with herbs for infection, and linked this type of CLBP to symptoms of 'hotness' or 'something moving around the body'. Interestingly, female patients with non-specific CLBP who consulted these practitioners had linked their symptom of 'hotness' to infertility resulting from infection, with

attendant emotional distress.²⁶ Symptom of 'something moving around the body' has been associated with psychological distress and somatisation in West African contexts, where the body is used as the basis for expressing emotional terms.⁴⁴ These psychological variables are predictors of CLBP disability in rural Nigeria²².

The pastors were the only participants that had a biopsychosocial CLBP management model, albeit from a predominant spiritual perspective. For one of the pastors, back pain was either biomechanical or spiritual. The spiritual model provided the distinction between his management of acute and chronic LBP. Evidence supports the use of different management strategies for acute and chronic LBP, as pain severity may be more important in the acute phase, whereas disability and mood are more important in the chronic phase.³ However, this pastor managed persistent back pain spiritually, when he could not offer biomechanical explanations for the persistence of pain. Unsurprisingly, many patients in this population were continually searching for healing due to their spiritual CLBP causal beliefs²⁶ a predictor of disability in this population.²² Furthermore, this pastor blamed patients whose CLBP were not healed, which might exacerbate anxiety and depression, which are predictors of disability in this population.²²

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 The other pastor had a biopsychosocial-spiritual CLBP model and did not
10 express a CLBP spiritual causal belief. He had an integrated approach in
11 management that better reflected the world health organization's definition
12 of health as "a complete state of physical, mental and social well-being, and
13 not merely the absence of disease or infirmity".⁴⁵ He targeted CLBP patients'
14 beliefs, attitude and behaviour, and encouraged them to take charge of their
15 environment and health. His use of spirituality was to increase resilience,
16 reduce emotional distress and promote acceptance in patients with CLBP.
17 Spirituality leading to pain acceptance has been hypothesized to be an
18 adaptive coping strategy in this population, in contrast to maladaptive CLBP
19 spiritual causal beliefs leading to healing expectations.²⁶

20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27 The cultural understanding of CLBP implied in this pastor's anecdotal reports
28 suggesting that southern Nigerians may have lower pain tolerance than
29 northern Nigerians does not appear to be supported by the literature. For
30 instance, cross-sectional studies of labour pain perception and utilisation of
31 obstetric analgesia in Nigeria suggested no differences in pain perception
32 among south eastern, south western and northern Nigerians.⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ A
33 prospective study also supports ethnic similarities in pain perception in
34 Nigeria.⁴⁹ However, the extent to which labour pain can be translated to
35 CLBP is uncertain.

The strengths of this study include its novelty, the rigorous systematic data collection and analysis, detailed description of the methods, reflexivity and respondent validation, as well as the findings being validated by a multidisciplinary team. The involvement of unconventional practitioners consulted by people living with CLBP enabled an exploration of the extent of the similarity between practitioners' CLBP beliefs and treatment strategies and patients' CLBP beliefs and coping strategies.

As this is a qualitative research, the results of this study are context-specific. A limitation of this study is the few numbers of unconventional practitioners that were willing to participate. Their reluctance to participate could be due to their general suspicion of conventional practitioners.

Conclusions

This study found potentially unhelpful beliefs and management strategies employed by unconventional practitioners in rural Nigeria. Biomedical and spiritual understanding of CLBP appeared to be linked to the use of passive treatments such as habitual use of pain medications, herbs and praying/spiritual healing in attempts to cure or heal patients. In order to improve patients' rehabilitation outcomes, and facilitate adequate self-management and behaviour change, health professionals should be aware

of unconventional practitioners' unhelpful perceptions and practices, and that these might influence patients' maladaptive beliefs, coping strategies and emotional distress.

Declaration of interest

The authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Buchbinder, R., van Tulder, M., Öberg, B., Costa, L. M., Woolf, A., Schoene, M., ... & Maher, C. G. Low back pain: a call for action. The Lancet. 2018; [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30488-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30488-4)
2. Hartvigsen, J., Hancock, M. J., Kongsted, A., Louw, Q., Ferreira, M. L., Genevay, S., ... & Smeets, R. J. What low back pain is and why we need to pay attention. The Lancet. 2018; [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)30480-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)30480-X)
3. Main, C. J., Foster, N., & Buchbinder, R. How important are back pain beliefs and expectations for satisfactory recovery from back pain? Best Practice & Research Clinical Rheumatology. 2010; 24(2), 205-217.
4. Barker, K. L., Reid, M., & Lowe, C. J. M. Divided by a lack of common language? A qualitative study exploring the use of language by health professionals treating back pain. BMC musculoskeletal disorders. 2009; 10(123), doi: 10.1186/1471-2474-10-123.
5. Borkan, J., Reis, S., Hermoni, D., & Biderman, A. Talking about the pain: a patient-centered study of low back pain in primary care. Social science & medicine. 1995; 40(7), 977-988.

6. Briggs, A. M., Jordan, J. E., Buchbinder, R., Burnett, A. F., O'Sullivan, P. B., Chua, J. Y., ... & Straker, L. M. Health literacy and beliefs among a community cohort with and without chronic low back pain. *Pain*. 2010; 150(2), 275-283.
7. Chew-Graham, C., & May, C. Chronic low back pain in general practice: the challenge of the consultation. *Family Practice*. 1999; 16(1), 46-49.
8. Jeffrey, J. E., & Foster, N. E. A qualitative investigation of physical therapists' experiences and feelings of managing patients with nonspecific low back pain. *Physical therapy*. 2012; 92(2), 266-278.
9. Darlow, B., Fullen, B. M., Dean, S., Hurley, D. A., Baxter, G. D., & Dowell, A. The association between health care professional attitudes and beliefs and the attitudes and beliefs, clinical management, and outcomes of patients with low back pain: a systematic review. *European Journal of Pain*. 2012; 16(1), 3-17.
10. Bishop, A., Foster, N. E., Thomas, E., & Hay, E. M. How does the self-reported clinical management of patients with low back pain relate to the attitudes and beliefs of health care practitioners? A survey of UK general practitioners and physiotherapists. *PAIN®*. 2008; 135(1-2), 187-195.
11. Houben, R., Ostelo, R. W., Vlaeyen, J. W., Wolters, P. M., Peters, M., & Berg, S. G. Health care providers' orientations towards common low back pain predict perceived harmfulness of physical activities and recommendations regarding return to normal activity. *European Journal of Pain*. 2005; 9(2), 173-183.
12. MacNeela, P., Gibbons, A., McGuire, B., & Murphy, A. "We need to get you focused": General practitioners' representations of chronic low back pain patients. *Qualitative Health Research*. 2010; 20(7), 977-986.
13. Watson, P. J., Bowey, J., Purcell-Jones, G., & Gales, T. General practitioner sickness absence certification for low back pain is not directly associated

with beliefs about back pain. *European Journal of Pain*. 2008; 12(3), 314-320.

14. Igwesi-Chidobe, C. N., Kitchen, S., Sorinola, I. O., & Godfrey, E. Qualitative exploration of non-specific chronic low back pain in rural Nigeria: towards evidence-informed physiotherapy practice. *Physiotherapy*. 2015; 101, e636-e637.
15. Airaksinen O, Brox JJ, Cedraschi C, Hildebrandt J, Klaber-Moffett J, Kovacs F, et al. Chapter 4. European guidelines for the management of chronic nonspecific low back pain. *European Spine Journal*. 2006; 15(2), S192-300.
16. NICE. Low back pain: Early management of persistent non-specific low back pain. London: NHS; 2009.
17. Wong JJ, Côté P, Sutton DA, Randhawa K, Yu H, Varatharajan S, Goldgrub R, Nordin M, Gross DP, Shearer HM, Carroll LJ. Clinical practice guidelines for the noninvasive management of low back pain: A systematic review by the Ontario Protocol for Traffic Injury Management (OPTIMA) Collaboration. *European journal of pain*. 2017;21(2):201-16.
18. Stochkendahl MJ, Kjaer P, Hartvigsen J, Kongsted A, Aaboe J, Andersen M, Andersen MØ, Fournier G, Højgaard B, Jensen MB, Jensen LD. National Clinical Guidelines for non-surgical treatment of patients with recent onset low back pain or lumbar radiculopathy. *European Spine Journal*. 2017; 27(1): 60-75
19. Bernstein IA, Malik Q, Carville S, Ward S. Low back pain and sciatica: summary of NICE guidance. *BMJ*. 2017; 356:i6748.
20. Qaseem A, Wilt TJ, McLean RM, Forciea MA. Noninvasive treatments for acute, subacute, and chronic low back pain: a clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians. *Annals of internal medicine*. 2017; 166(7):514-30.
21. Schiltenswolf, M., Buchner, M., Heindl, B., von Reumont, J., Müller, A., & Eich, W. Comparison of a biopsychosocial therapy (BT) with a conventional biomedical therapy (MT) of subacute low back pain in the

first episode of sick leave: a randomized controlled trial. *European Spine Journal*. 2006; 15(7), 1083-1092.

22. Igwesi-Chidobe, C. N., Coker, B., Onwasigwe, C. N., Sorinola, I. O., & Godfrey, E. L. Biopsychosocial factors associated with chronic low back pain disability in rural Nigeria: a population-based cross-sectional study. *BMJ global health*. 2017; 2(3), e000284.
23. Igwesi-Chidobe, C. Obstacles to obtaining optimal physiotherapy services in a rural community in southeastern Nigeria. *Rehabilitation research and practice*. 2012; doi:10.1155/2012/909675.
24. Igwesi-Chidobe, C. N., Odebiyi, D. O., & Okafor, U. A. C. Characterisation of Physiotherapy Needs in A Rural Community in South Eastern Nigeria. *Nigerian quarterly journal of hospital medicine*. 2012; 22(3), 168-176.
25. Ojua, T., Ishor, D., & Ndom, P. African cultural practices and health implications for Nigeria rural development. *International Review of Management and Business Research*. 2014; 2(1):176-183.
26. Igwesi-Chidobe, C. N., Kitchen, S., Sorinola, I. O., & Godfrey, E. L. "A life of living death": the experiences of people living with chronic low back pain in rural Nigeria. *Disability and rehabilitation*. 2017; 39(8), 779-790.
27. Mbada, C. E., Adeyemi, T. L., Adedoyin, R. A., Badmus, H. D., Awotidebe, T. O., Arije, O. O., & Omotosho, O. S. Prevalence and modes of complementary and alternative medicine use among peasant farmers with musculoskeletal pain in a rural community in South-Western Nigeria. *BMC complementary and alternative medicine*. 2015; 15(164) <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-015-0695-3>
28. Igwesi-Chidobe, C. Obstacles to obtaining optimal physiotherapy services in a rural community in southeastern Nigeria. *Rehabilitation research and practice*. 2012; doi:10.1155/2012/909675.
29. Ng, J. Y., Boon, H. S., Thompson, A. K., & Whitehead, C. R. Making sense of "alternative", "complementary", "unconventional" and "integrative" medicine: exploring the terms and meanings through a textual analysis.

BMC complementary and alternative medicine. 2016; 16(134)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12906-016-1111-3>

30. Ritchie, J., Lewis, J., Nicholls, C. M., & Ormston, R. (Eds.). *Qualitative research practice: A guide for social science students and researchers*. Sage; 2013.
31. Gale, N. K., Heath, G., Cameron, E., Rashid, S., & Redwood, S. Using the framework method for the analysis of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. *BMC medical research methodology*. 2013; 13(117)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-13-117>
32. Ward, D. J., Furber, C., Tierney, S., & Swallow, V. Using framework analysis in nursing research: a worked example. *Journal of advanced nursing*. 2013; 69(11), 2423-2431.
33. Levers MJ. Philosophical paradigms, grounded theory, and perspectives on emergence. *Sage Open*. 2013;3(4):2158244013517243.
34. Seale, C. Quality in qualitative research. *Qualitative inquiry*. 1999; 5(4), 465-478.
35. Kirby, E. R., Broom, A. F., Adams, J., Sibbritt, D. W., & Refshauge, K. M. A qualitative study of influences on older women's practitioner choices for back pain care. *BMC health services research*. 2014; 14(131)
<https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-14-131>
36. Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International journal for quality in health care*. 2007;19(6):349-57.
37. Chen, H. Y., & Boore, J. R. Translation and back-translation in qualitative nursing research: methodological review. *Journal of clinical nursing*. 2010; 19(1-2), 234-239.
38. Brislin, R. W. Back-translation for cross-cultural research. *Journal of cross-cultural psychology*. 1970; 1(3), 185-216.

39. Engel, G. L. The need for a new medical model: a challenge for biomedicine. *Psychodynamic psychiatry*. 2012; 40(3), 377-396.
40. Gatchel, R. J., Peng, Y. B., Peters, M. L., Fuchs, P. N., & Turk, D. C. The biopsychosocial approach to chronic pain: scientific advances and future directions. *Psychological bulletin*. 2007; 133(4), 581-624.
41. Lamb, S. E., Hansen, Z., Lall, R., Castelnuovo, E., Withers, E. J., Nichols, V., ... & Back Skills Training Trial investigators. Group cognitive behavioural treatment for low-back pain in primary care: a randomised controlled trial and cost-effectiveness analysis. *The Lancet*. 2010; 375(9718), 916-923.
42. Carragee EJ. Persistent low back pain. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2005; 352(18):1891-1898.
43. Wynne-Jones, G., van der Windt, D., Ong, B. N., Bishop, A., Cowen, J., Artus, M., & Sanders, T. Perceptions of health professionals towards the management of back pain in the context of work: a qualitative study. *BMC musculoskeletal disorders*. 2014; 15(210) <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-15-210>
44. Ma-Kellams, C. Cross-cultural differences in somatic awareness and interoceptive accuracy: a review of the literature and directions for future research. *Frontiers in psychology*. 2014; 5,1379. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01379>
45. World Health Organization. Ottawa charter for health promotion. 1986.
46. Aduloju, O. P. Pain perception among parturients at a university teaching hospital, south-western Nigeria. *Nigerian medical journal: journal of the Nigeria Medical Association*. 2013; 54(4), 211-216.
47. Audu, B., Yahaya, U., Bukar, M., El-Nafaty, A., Abdullahi, H., & Kyari, O. Desire for pain relief in labour in Northeastern Nigeria. *Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology*. 2009; 1(2), 53-57.
48. Obuna, J. A., & Umeora, O. U. J. Perception of labor pain and utilization of obstetric analgesia by Igbo women of Southeast Nigeria. *Journal of Obstetric Anaesthesia and Critical Care*. 2014; 4(1), 18-22.

49. Kuti, O., & Faponle, A. F. Perception of labour pain among the Yoruba ethnic group in Nigeria. *Journal of obstetrics and gynaecology*. 2006; 26(4), 332-334.

Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics of non-conventional practitioners

Table 2: Analytical themes

Table 1

Sex	Age (years)	Completed education	Occupation	Years of practice
Male	53	Primary	Patent medicine seller	30
Male	37	Secondary	Patent medicine seller	6
Female	45	Secondary	Patent medicine seller	29
Female	42	Basic nursing	Patent medicine seller	12
Female	26	Secondary	Herbalist	10
Female	38	Secondary	Herbalist	20
Female	21	Secondary	Herbalist	5
Male	53	Secondary	Pastor	13
Male	39	Tertiary/OND	Pastor	7

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52

Table 2

Themes	Varied explanatory models of chronic back pain	Classification-based management of chronic back pain
Sub-themes	Biomedical formulations of chronic back pain	Back pain duration did not influence treatment
	Cultural explanations for persistent back pain	Persistent back pain often perceived as 'spiritual' and managed 'spiritually'
	Spiritual understanding of non-specific chronic low back pain	Perceived effectiveness of different management strategies